

INEQUALITY-ADJUSTED HAPPINESS

Ruut Veenhoven

*In: Alex C. Michalos (Ed.) **Encyclopedia of Quality of Life and Well-Being Research** Springer, Dordrecht, Netherlands, 2014. Springer Reference Series, pp. 3253-3254. ISBN 978-94-007-0752-8 DOI: 10.1007/978-94-007-0753-5_1462*

1 SYNONIMS

None.

2 DEFINITION

How well a nation combines a high level of happiness with an equitable distributions of happiness.

3 DESCRIPTION

3.1 Aim

According to the utilitarian creed, the quality of a society should be judged using the degree of happiness of its members, the best society being the one that provides the greatest happiness for the greatest number. Following the egalitarian principle, the quality of a society should be judged by the disparity in happiness among citizens, a society being better if differences in happiness are smaller. Performance on these standards can be measured using cross-national surveys, where degree of happiness is measured using the mean response to a question about happiness and disparity is expressed as the standard deviation of responses.

These measures are married together in an index called the “inequality-adjusted happiness” (IAH) that gives equal weight to either criterion. It is a linear combination of the mean happiness value and the standard deviation in a nation, and it is expressed as a number on a 0-100 scale.

3.2 Computation

This index is expressed in the following formula: $IAH = 8.28 (m - s) + 17.2$, where m is the mean response to a question on happiness in a nation and s is the sample standard deviation of the responses, happiness being measured using a 0-10 scale ranging from most unhappy (0) to most happy (10). On this index a score of 100 means that everybody is completely happy; e.g., all respondents in the sample rated their happiness 10 on a scale of 0 to 10 (Veenhoven & Kalmijn, 2005; Kalmijn & Veenhoven, 2013).

3.3 Difference Across Nations

The IAH differs widely across nations (Veenhoven, 2012). Some illustrative findings are presented in the table below (Table 1).

Inequality-Adjusted Happiness,

Table 1 Examples of inequality-adjusted happiness (IAH) in nations (2000–2009)

Nation	Happiness		Inequality-adjusted happiness	
	Average	Standard deviation	IAH	<i>IAH(viously)</i>
Denmark	8.03	1.53	71	(75)
Iceland	7.87	1.66	69	(73)
Switzerland	7.74	1.58	68	(72)
Finland	7.61	1.56	67	(71)
The Netherlands	7.33	1.37	67	(69)
Japan	6.35	1.91	54	(57)
France	6.45	2.11	53	(58)
Indonesia	6.16	2.05	51	(55)
Poland	6.26	2.29	50	(55)
China	6.14	2.45	48	(53)
Macedonia	4.68	2.57	35	(39)
Bulgaria	4.46	2.41	34	(37)
Mali	4.73	2.77	33	(38)
Zimbabwe	3.23	2.28	25	(26)
Tanzania	3.03	2.76	19	(22)

3.4 Trend over Time

Average happiness has gone up in most nations during the last 30 years, while inequality of happiness has decreased. Consequently, the scores on the index of inequality-adjusted happiness have risen in most nations (Veenhoven, 2012).

REFERENCES

- Kalmijn, W.M. & Veenhoven, R. (2013)
Index of inequality adjusted happiness (IAH) improved
Journal of Happiness Studies, online since September 2013
- Veenhoven, R., & Kalmijn, W. M. (2005)
Inequality- adjusted happiness in nations. Egalitarianism and utilitarianism married together in a new index of societal performance.
Journal of Happiness Studies, 6, 421-455.
- Veenhoven, R. (2012a)
Inequality-adjusted happiness (IAH) in nations
World Database of Happiness, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Netherlands.
- Veenhoven, R. (2012b)
Trend inequality-adjusted happiness in nations
World Database of Happiness, Erasmus university Rotterdam, Netherlands.
- Cieza, A., Bickenbach, J., & Chatterji, S. (2008)
The ICF as a conceptual platform to specify and discuss health and health-related concepts.
Gesundheitswesen, 70(10), 47-56.
- Cieza, A., & Stucki, G. (2008)
The international classification of functioning, disability and health: Its development process and content validity.
European Journal of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine, 44(3), 303-313.
- Huber, J. G., Sillick, J., & Skarakis-Doyle, E. (2010)
Personal perception and personal factors: Incorporating health-related quality of life into the international classification of functioning, disability and health.
Disability and Rehabilitation, 32(23), 1955-1965.
- Mayo, N. E., Moriello, C., Asano, M., van der Spuy, S., & Finch, L. (2011)
The extent to which common health- related quality of life indices capture constructs beyond symptoms and functions.
Quality of Life Research, 20(5), 621-627.
- Teixeira-Salmela, L. F., Neto, M. G., Magalhaes, L. C., Lima, R. C., & Faria, C. D. C. M. (2009)
Content comparisons of stroke-specific quality of life based upon the international classification of functioning, disability, and health.
Quality of Life Research, 18(6), 765-773.

World Health Organisation, WHO. (2001)
ICF international classification of functioning, disability and health.
Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organisation.

Cross-Reference in Encyclopedia of:

Happiness