

Section on a specific subject from:

DATABOOK OF HAPPINESS

A complementary reference work to
Conditions of Happiness

Ruut Veenhoven
with the assistance of Ton Jonkers
Erasmus University Rotterdam

D.Reidel Publishing Company
Member of Kluwer Academic Publishers Group
1984
Dordrecht/Boston/Lancaster

ISBN 90-277-1793-1

F 1 FAMILY

see also 'Marital status' (M1)
'Marriage' (M2)

- F 1.1 Family of origin
 - 1.1.1 - Socio-economic background
 - 1.1.2 - Broken home background
 - 1.1.3 - Socio-psychological climate
 - 1.1.3.1 - Relationship between parents
 - 1.1.3.2 - Parental characteristics and behavior
 - 1.1.4 - Various factors concerning family of origin

- F 1.2 Children
 - 1.2.1 - Having children
 - 1.2.2 - Number of children
 - 1.2.3 - Number of children at home
 - 1.2.4 - Various factor concerning one's children
- F 1.3 Satisfaction with one's family. see S 1.7.1
- F 1.4 Various family factors

F 1.1 - FAMILY OF ORIGIN

F 1.1.1 - SOCIO-ECONOMIC BACKGROUND

HIGH EDUCATIONAL STATUS OF FATHER	Non-graduate vs college graduate	U-shaped curve: girls with a non-graduate father reporting significantly more 'average happiness'	COMP 2.2	-	+ 0	ns	Female college students, New York, U.S.A. Type of construction unclear N: 238, date: —	WASHB 41 p. 283
EDUCATIONAL LEVEL OF FATHER	Some grade school or grade school graduate / some high school or high school graduate / some college or college graduate / post graduate college work		HAPP 1.1	G	+04	Chi ²	Juniors and seniors attending public high schools in New York State, U.S.A.	BRENN 70 p. 113/338
			AFF 1.1	G	+03	Chi ²	Probability cluster sample of 10 public high schools Sample A: N= 1682, sample B: N= 1664, sample C: N= 1678 date: 1960	
				V	.03	ns		
				V	.02	ns		
EDUCATIONAL LEVEL OF MOTHER	Some grade school or grade school graduate / some high school or high school graduate / some college or college graduate / post graduate college work	When standardized on: - participation in extracurricular activities: G _s = +.09 - social class: G _s = +.06	HAPP 1.1	G	+09	Chi ²	See above	BRENN 70 p. 113/334
			AFF 1.1	G	+04	Chi ²		
				V	.04	05		
				V	.03	ns		
INCOME LEVEL OF FATHER'S OCCUPATION	Under \$ 3400 / \$ 3400 - \$ 5000 / over \$ 5000		HAPP 1.1	G	+07	Chi ²	See above	BRENN 70 p. 113/334
			AFF 1.1	G	+01	Chi ²		
				V	.04	ns		
				V	.02	ns		
SOCIAL CLASS	Weighted score based on the medium score of father's occupation, father's education and father's primary source of income lower / middle / upper	When standardized on: - having fun in life: G _s = +.07 - frequency of low mood: G _s = +.15 - tending to be a lonely person: G _s = +.08 - self-esteem: G _s = +.08 - having faith in people: G _s = +.09 - sensitivity to criticism: G _s = +.09 - presenting a false self: G _s = +.13 - participation in extracurricular activities: G _s = +.14 - extent of dating: G _s = +.14 - hours spent on work for pay: G _s = +.12 - disruption of family relationships: G _s = +.12 - number of children in the family: G _s = +.10 - school social class: G _s = +.12 - having fun in life and tending to be a lonely person: G _r = +.07	HAPP 1.1	G	+12	Chi ²	See above	BRENN 70 p. 113/330
				V	.05	ns		

(to be continued on next page)

	<p>- having fun in life, and self-esteem: $G_s = +.06$ - tending to be a lonely person, and self-esteem : $G_s = +.06$ - having faith in people, and self-esteem $G_s = +.07$ - sensitivity to criticism, and self-esteem : $G_s = +.05$</p> <p>When Ss are regrouped, so that the upper third of middle class = upper class, and lower third of middle class = lower class : $G = +.06$ When standardized on educational level of mother : $G_s = +.04$</p> <p>When standardized on:</p> <p>- having fun in life: $G_s = +.03$ - frequency of low mood: $G_s = +.08$ - tending to be a lonely person: $G_s = +.04$ - self-esteem: $G_s = +.03$ - having faith in people: $G_s = +.02$ - sensitivity to criticism: $G_s = +.04$ - presenting a false self: $G_s = +.06$ - participation in extracurricular activities: $G_s = +.06$ - extent of dating: $G_s = +.07$ - hours spent on work for pay: $G_s = +.03$ - disruption of family relationships: $G_s = +.05$ - number of children in the family: $G_s = +.05$ - school social class: $G_s = +.05$ - tending to be a lonely person, and having fun in life: $G_s = +.04$ - self-esteem, and having fun in life: $G_s = +.01$ - self-esteem, and tending to be a lonely person: $G_s = +.02$ - self-esteem, and having faith in people: $G_s = +.01$ - self-esteem, and sensitivity to criticism: $G_s = +.02$</p> <p>When Ss are regrouped, so that the upper third of middle class = upper class, and the lower third of middle class = lower class: $G = +.01$ When standardized on educational level of mother: $G_s = .00$</p>	AFF 1.1	G V	+.05 .03	Chi ²	ns			
WORK SETTING OF FATHER	Closed question: father works for himself and / or income from profits or fees vs works in organization and / or income from wages or a salary	HAPP 1.1	r _{pm}		t	ns	Male undergraduates, U.S.A. Non-probability chunk sample N: 103, date: ± 1967	HEERE 69 p. 28	
F 1.1.2 - BROKEN HOME BACKGROUND									
PARENTS ARE LIVING TOGETHER	Broken vs unbroken homes	L-shaped curve: significant for the 'unhappy' only	COMP 2.2	+		s	Female college students, New York, U.S.A. Type of construction unclear N: 238, date: —	WASHB 41 p. 283	
BROKEN HOME BACKGROUND	S lived with both of his real parents until he was 16 years of age vs separated from at least one of his parents through divorce.	Analysis on the basis of proportion 'not too happy' answers.	HAPP 1.1	G'	-.30	Gt'	01	Non-institutionalized adults, U.S.A. Probability multi-stage sample N: 2460, date: spring, 1957	GURIN 60 p. 246

BROKEN HOME BACKGROUND	S lived with both of his real parents until he was 16 years of age vs separation through the death of one or both parents.	Analysis on the basis of proportion 'not too happy' answers .	HAPP 1.1	G'	-.11	Gt'	ns	Non-institutionalized adults, U.S.A. (See last page)	GURIN 60 p. 246
DISRUPTION OF FAMILY RELATIONSHIPS	2-item index of closed questions on parents living together / divorced / separated / separated by death, and ever had stepparents, foster parents or guardians	When standardized on social class: G = -.21	HAPP 1.1	G V	-.21 .07	Chi ²	01	Juniors and seniors attending public highschoools in New York State, U.S.A. Probability cluster sample of 10 public highschoools N: sample A: 1682, sample B: 1664, sample C: 1678 date: 1960	BRENN 70 p. 113/350
PARENTS ARE LIVING TOGETHER	Closed question: divorced / separated / living together		AFF 1.1	G V	-.05 .04	Chi ²	01		
PARENTS ARE LIVING TOGETHER	Closed question: divorced / separated / living together		HAPP 1.1	r _{pm}	+	t	s	Male undergraduates, U.S.A. Non-probability chunk sample N: 103, date: + 1967	HEERE 69 p. 28
BROKEN HOMES IN CHILDHOOD	S lived with real father/mother during the first 15 years of his life yes vs no		AFF 1.3	DR	-		05	Adults, Alameda County, U.S.A. Probability sample N: 6928, date: 1965	BERKM 71 p. 42

F 1.1.3 - SOCIO-PSYCHOLOGICAL CLIMATE IN FAMILY OF ORIGIN

F 1.1.3.1 - RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ONE'S PARENTS

WARM RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PARENTS	Closed question rated on a 9-point scale cool and distant / neither cool nor warm / very warm and close		HAPP 1.1	r _{pm}	+.46	t	s	Male undergraduates, U.S.A. Non-probability chunk sample N: 103, date: + 1967	HEERE 69 p. 28
PARENTS ENJOY EACH OTHER'S COMPANY	Closed question rated on a 5-point scale not really / very much so		HAPP 1.1	r _{pm}	+	t	s	See above	HEERE 69 p. 28
PARENTS ENJOY DOING THINGS TOGETHER	Closed question rated on a 5-point scale very few / average / a great many		HAPP 1.1	r _{pm}	+.39	t	s	See above	HEERE 69 p. 28
MARITAL HAPPINESS DURING THE CHILD'S AGE FROM 9 TO 14 YEARS	Rating of expressive behavior, made by three judges on the basis of interview-protocols (one to three interviews) for: 'Does this mother have a good marital relationship?' 8-item index: each item scored on a 7-point scale ranging from 'not at all true' to 'extremely true'	This variable was correlated with the child's hedonic level at the ages of 10-36 months. See also instrument and remarks in excerpt (Part II). For boys N= 15, for girls N=16. 10-12 13-15 18-24 27-36 boys: -.04 -.08 +.08 +.08 girls: +.13 -.04 -.25 +.00	AFF 5.1	r _{pm}				Children and their mothers, Berkeley, California, U.S.A. Non-probability chunk sample N: 108, date: 1928 - 1943	SCHAE 63 p. 117/118
HAPPINESS OF PARENTS' MARRIAGE IN CHILDHOOD	Closed question: very unhappy / unhappy / somewhat unhappy / somewhat happy / happy / very happy		AFF 1.3	DR	+.08		05	Adults, Alameda County, U.S.A. Probability sample N: 6928, date: 1965	BERKM 71 p. 42

F 1.1.3.2 - PARENTAL CHARACTERISTICS AND BEHAVIOR

PARENTAL CHARACTERISTICS AS PERCEIVED BY THE SUBJECT

HAVING A DOMINANT FATHER	Closed question rated on a 9-point scale very submissive / moderate / very dominant	HAPP 1.1	r pm	-	t	s	Male undergraduates, U.S.A. Non-probability chunk sample N: 103, date: <u>+</u> 1967	HEERE 69 p. 28
HAVING A DOMINANT MOTHER	Closed question rated on a 9-point scale very submissive / moderate / very dominant	HAPP 1.1	r pm	-	t	s	See above	HEERE 69 p. 28
FATHER IS DEMANDING VERY LITTLE	Closed question rated on a 9-point scale demands a lot / moderate / very little	HAPP 1.1	r pm		t	ns	See above	HEERE 69 p. 28
MOTHER IS DEMANDING VERY LITTLE	Closed question rated on a 9-point scale demands a lot / moderate / very little	HAPP 1.1	r pm	+.21	t	s	See above	HEERE 69 p. 28
HAVING AN AFFECTIONATE FATHER	Closed question rated on a 5-point scale detached and aloof / quite openly affectionate	HAPP 1.1	r pm	+.24	t	s	See above	HEERE 69 p. 28
HAVING AN AFFECTIONATE MOTHER	Closed question rated on a 5-point scale detached and aloof / quite openly affectionate	HAPP 1.1	r pm	+.21	t	s	See above	HEERE 69 p. 28
FATHER HAS HIGH EXPECTATIONS	Closed question: no / to some extent / yes	HAPP 1.1	r pm		t	ns	See above	HEERE 69 p. 28
FATHER IS 'WEARING THE PANTS' IN THE FAMILY	Closed question: mother / neither (equal in influence) / father	HAPP 1.1	r pm	-.18	t	s	See above	HEERE 69 p. 28
FATHER IS SEEN AS A STRONG PERSON	Closed question rated on a 9-point scale weak and passive / neither weak nor strong / very strong	HAPP 1.1	r pm	+.47	t	s	See above	HEERE 69 p. 28
MOTHER IS SEEN AS A STRONG PERSON	Closed question rated on a 9-point scale weak and passive / neither weak nor strong / very strong	HAPP 1.1	r pm		t	ns	See above	HEERE 69 p. 28
FATHER HAS CLEAR AND STRONG BELIEFS	Closed question rated on a 9-point scale beliefs very uncertain / some uncertainty / very strong and clear beliefs	HAPP 1.1	r pm	+.26	t	s	See above	HEERE 69 p. 28
CLOSE RELATIONSHIP WITH FATHER	Closed question rated on a 5-point scale not close at all / very close	HAPP 1.1	r pm	+	t	s	See above	HEERE 69 p. 28
WARMTH AND SPONTANEOUSNESS OF MOTHER	Closed question rated on a 9-point scale very cool and restrained / moderately so / very warm and spontaneous	HAPP 1.1	r pm	+	t	s	See above	HEERE 69 p. 28
PROTECTION AND SUPPORT FROM PARENTS IN MOMENTS OF STRESS	Closed question: no / to some extent / yes	HAPP 1.1	r pm	+	t	s	See above	HEERE 69 p. 28
PARENTS WERE PERMISSIVE AND LENIENT	Closed question rated on a 9-point scale very strict / about average / very permissive and lenient	HAPP 1.1	r pm		t	ns	See above	HEERE 69 p. 28
BEING SHELTERED BY PARENTS	Closed question rated on a 5-point scale not at all / very much	HAPP 1.1	r pm		t	ns	See above	HEERE 69 p. 28
ENCOURAGEMENT AND GUIDANCE BY PARENTS	Closed question rated on a 9-point scale pretty much on my own / some guidance / parents gave lots of guidance	HAPP 1.1	r pm		t	ns	See above	HEERE 69 p. 28

PARENTS ARE SURE IN THEIR DECISIONS HAVING TO DO WITH ONE'S DISCIPLINE	Closed question rated on a 9-point scale always very doubtful / sometimes / always certain and sure		HAPP 1.1	r_{pm}	+0.20	t	s	Male undergraduates, U.S.A. (See last page)	HEERE 69 p. 28
BEING OFTEN ALLOWED BY PARENTS TO MAKE OWN DECISIONS	Closed question rated on a 9-point scale almost never / sometimes / nearly all the time		HAPP 1.1	r_{pm}	+0.33	t	s		HEERE 69 p. 28
FREEDOM TO DECIDE HOW LATE TO STAY OUT	Closed question rated on a 5-point scale not at all (parents decide) / completely free		HAPP 1.1	r_{pm}		t	ns	See above	HEERE 69 p. 28
FREEDOM TO DRINK ALCOHOL	Closed question rated on a 5-point scale not at all (parents decide) / completely free		HAPP 1.1	r_{pm}		t	ns	See above	HEERE 69 p. 28
FREEDOM TO STAY OVERNIGHT AT SOMEONE'S HOUSE	Closed question rated on a 5-point scale not at all (parents decide) / completely free		HAPP 1.1	r_{pm}		t	ns	See above	HEERE 69 p. 28
FREEDOM TO TAKE OWN TRIPS OR GO TRAVELLING	Closed question rated on a 5-point scale not at all (parents decide) / completely free		HAPP 1.1	r_{pm}		t	ns	See above	HEERE 69 p. 28
FREEDOM IN DATING	Closed question rated on a 5-point scale not at all (parents decide) / completely free		HAPP 1.1	r_{pm}		t	ns	See above	HEERE 69 p. 28
FREEDOM TO FOLLOW OWN INTERESTS	Closed question rated on a 9-point scale not much / some / considerable freedom		HAPP 1.1	r_{pm}	+0.30	t	s	See above	HEERE 69 p. 28
DECISIONS THOROUGHLY DISCUSSED IN THE FAMILY	Closed question rated on a 9-point scale usually no discussion / some discussion / very thorough discussion		HAPP 1.1	r_{pm}	+0.33	t	s	See above	HEERE 69 p. 28
PAST PARENTAL TREATMENT AND RELATIONSHIPS	Scores derived from a 212-item inventory of past life experiences	A number of scores on parental treatments or relationships were derived. None of them appeared to show much relationship to mood level.	AFF 3.1			t	ns	Male college students, U.S.A. Non-probability chunk sample N: 17, date: + 1960	WESSM 66/2 p. 122
PERCEIVED LIBERALITY OF SEXUAL ATTITUDES OF PARENTS	6-item questionnaire containing sexual behaviors varying in intimacy, scored for sexual behaviors, which one's parents would not seriously disapprove	Happiness was also correlated with discrepancy scores between the attitude of his parents and his own pragmatic and ideal sexual attitudes (indicative of external sexual conflicts). In both cases these correlations were non-significant (see under 'Sexuality', Part III, S 3)	COMP 1.1	r_{pm}	-0.04		ns	Undergraduate college students, Hawaii Non-probability accidental sample N: 101, date: —	WILSO 65 p. 375
POSITIVE EVALUATION OF FAMILY RELATIONS	21-item index containing closeness to father (4 items), closeness to mother (3 items), amount of reasoning with son (4 items), and parental punitiveness (10 items)	Both variables were measured in each of the three interview waves (See excerpt, Part II). The following associations were reported: fam. rel. (t_1) x happ. (t_1): $r = +.38$ fam. rel. (t_1) x happ. (t_2): $r_{pm} = +.32$ fam. rel. (t_1) x happ. (t_3): $r = +.23$ fam. rel. (t_2) x happ. (t_1): $r = +.30$ fam. rel. (t_2) x happ. (t_2): $r = +.41$ fam. rel. (t_2) x happ. (t_3): $r = +.30$	COMP 1.2	h^2	+0.37		001	Public highschool boys, U.S.A. Probability multi-stage sample N: 2213 in 1966, 1886 in 1968 and 1799 in 1969 date: fall 1966, spring 1968 and spring 1969	BACHM 67/70 p. 211
POSITIVE EVALUATION OF MOTHER AND FATHER AS A PARENT	Closed question for mother and father separately worse / about the same / better than most mothers/fathers		AFF 1.3	\bar{DR}	+0.08		05	Adults, Alameda County, U.S.A. Probability sample N: 6928, date: 1965	BERKM 71 p. 42

PARENTAL CHARACTERISTICS AND BEHAVIOR AS RATED BY OUTSIDERS

MATERNAL CHARACTERISTICS DURING THE FIRST THREE YEARS OF THE CHILD'S LIFE:

Ratings of expressive behavior on 7-point scales ranging from 'not at all true' to 'extremely true' by three judges on the basis of notes on observations of about 20 testing sessions

Each variable was correlated with the child's hedonic level at the ages of 10 - 36 months. See also instrument and remarks in excerpt (Part II). For boys N=15, for girls N = 16.

		age:	10-12	13-15	18-24	27-36			
- PERMITS AND STIMULATES AUTONOMY	'Does the mother think the child should be free to act independently and be allowed to work or play apart from the parents?'	boys:	+ .18	+ .15	+ .26	+ .29	AFF 5.1	r	pm
		girls:	- .09	- .08	- .12	- .14			
	5-item index								
- IGNORING	'Does the mother ignore or reject her child?'	boys:	- .03	- .23	- .26	- .35	AFF 5.1	r	pm
	7-item index	girls:	+ .04	- .11	- .40 ^x	- .38 ^x			
- PERCEIVES CHILD AS BURDEN	'Does the mother perceive the child as more a burden and inconvenience than a source of happiness?'	boys:	- .18	- .32	- .54 ^x	- .53 ^x	AFF 5.1	r	pm
	7-item index	girls:	- .16	- .32	- .42 ^x	- .39 ^x			
- USE OF FEAR TO CONTROL	'Does the mother attempt to use fear as a way of controlling and teaching the child?'	boys:	- .13	- .20	- .39 ^x	- .38 ^x	AFF 5.1	r	pm
	5-item index	girls:	- .29	- .26	- .49 ^x	- .52 ^x			
- PUNISHMENT	'Does the mother believe in punishment as an effective method of influencing the child's behavior?'	boys:	- .06	- .19	- .36	- .41 ^x	AFF 5.1	r	pm
	7-item index	girls:	- .20	- .26	- .40 ^x	- .44 ^x			
- INTRUSIVENESS	'Does the mother seem to be unaware of the fact that a child has a mind of his own and that he should be able to do his own thinking without forceful intrusion by the mother?'	boys:	- .36	- .34	- .35	- .40 ^x	AFF 5.1	r	pm
	4-item index	girls:	+ .12	+ .07	+ .02	- .07			
- CONCERN ABOUT CHILD'S HEALTH	'Does this mother seem to be preoccupied with her child's health?'	boys:	- .25	- .11	- .13	+ .10	AFF 5.1	r	pm
	5-item index	girls:	+ .12	+ .12	+ .30	+ .26			
- ACHIEVEMENT DEMAND	'Does she concern herself about the child's achievement?'	boys:	- .22	- .04	- .11	- .18	AFF 5.1	r	pm
	4-item index	girls:	+ .27	+ .18	+ .30	+ .35			
- EXCESSIVE CONTACT WITH CHILD	'Does the mother wish to keep the child closely attached to herself?'	boys:	- .12	- .08	- .16	- .14	AFF 5.1	r	pm
	4-item index	girls:	+ .00	+ .07	+ .29	+ .30			
- FOSTERING DEPENDENCY ON CHILD	'Does this mother tend to baby her child or foster dependency on him?'	boys:	- .39 ^x	- .32	- .27	- .17	AFF 5.1	r	pm
	6-item index	girls:	+ .12	+ .08	+ .20	+ .35			
- EMOTIONAL INVOLVEMENT WITH CHILD	'Extent and intensity of emotional and behavioral involvement with the child'	boys:	- .08	+ .02	+ .00	- .07	AFF 5.1	r	pm
	5-item index	girls:	+ .17	+ .27	+ .46 ^x	+ .49 ^x			

Children and their mothers, Berkeley, California, U.S.A.
Non-probability chunk sample
N: 108 (54 children and their mothers), date: 1928 - 1943

SCHAE 63
p. 109/110

		age:	10-12	13-15	18-24	27-36					
- EXPRESSION OF AFFECTION FOR CHILD	'Does the mother openly express her love and affection for her child?'	boys:	+ .03	+ .15	+ .23	+ .21	AFF	5.1	r	pm	
		girls:	+ .16	+ .22	+ .42 ^x	+ .48 ^x					
	6-item index										
- EQUALITARIANISM	'Does the mother tend to relate to the child as an equal?'	boys:	+ .22	+ .26	+ .49 ^x	+ .44 ^x	AFF	5.1	r	pm	
		girls:	+ .37	+ .39 ^x	+ .53 ^x	+ .55 ^x					
	5-item index										
- POSITIVE EVALUATION OF CHILD	'Does the mother tend to have a positive evaluation of the child as a person?'	boys:	+ .17	+ .26	+ .42 ^x	+ .28	AFF	5.1	r	pm	
		girls:	+ .15	+ .22	+ .42 ^x	+ .48 ^x					
	6-item index										
- SUPPRESSION OF AGGRESSION	'Does the mother's ideal seem to be a quiet, passive child rather than an active, aggressive one?'	boys:	-.39 ^x	-.21	-.30	-.31	AFF	5.1	r	pm	
		girls:	-.08	-.36	-.40 ^x	-.22					
	4-item index										
- PUNITIVENESS	'Does the mother seem punitive and unkind?'	boys:	-.06	-.25	-.48 ^x	-.46 ^x	AFF	5.1	r	pm	
		girls:	-.28	-.31	-.44 ^x	-.38 ^x					
	4-item index										
- STRICTNESS (rigidity)	'Does the mother believe in rigid rules and strict enforcement of those rules?'	boys:	-.15	-.06	-.29	-.11	AFF	5.1	r	pm	
		girls:	-.33	-.37	-.56 ^x	-.57 ^x					
	5-item index										
- IRRITABILITY	'Does the mother tend to be irritable?'	boys:	-.29	-.42 ^x	-.57 ^x	-.69 ^x	AFF	5.1	r	pm	
		girls:	-.24	-.30	-.32	-.34					
	6-item index										
- ANXIETY	'Does this mother appear overtly anxious during the session?'	boys:	-.39 ^x	-.36	-.58 ^x	-.62 ^x	AFF	5.1	r	pm	
		girls:	-.20	-.19	-.04	+ .15					
	5-item index										
- NEGATIVE EMOTIONAL STATES	'Does she tend towards negative emotional states?'	boys:	-.07	-.34	-.44 ^x	-.30	AFF	5.1	r	pm	
		girls:	-.43 ^x	-.41 ^x	-.44 ^x	-.37					
	5-item index										
- MOOD SWINGS	'Does she show mood swings?'	boys:	-.29	-.31	-.50 ^x	-.56 ^x	AFF	5.1	r	pm	
		girls:	-.17	-.25	-.32	-.16					
	5-item index										
- DOMINANCE	'Does the mother show competitive, domineering behavior in the family and examining situation?'	boys:	-.21	-.15	-.34	-.40 ^x	AFF	5.1	r	pm	
		girls:	+ .23	+ .14	+ .16	+ .28					
	6-item index										
- SELF-ABASEMENT	'Does this mother seem self-abasing?'	boys:	-.23	-.02	-.27	-.11	AFF	5.1	r	pm	
		girls:	-.08	-.24	-.01	+ .02					
	6-item index										
- DEPENDENCY	'Is she a dependent mother?'	boys:	-.39 ^x	-.24	-.16	-.34	AFF	5.1	r	pm	
		girls:	+ .33	+ .09	+ .13	+ .30					
	7-item index										
- OVER-CONSCIENTIOUSNESS	'Is she an over-conscientious mother?'	boys:	-.17	+ .06	-.11	+ .10	AFF	5.1	r	pm	
		girls:	+ .10	-.07	+ .26	+ .27					
	3-item index										
- SOCIABILITY	'Does this mother tend to be a social person?'	boys:	-.02	+ .08	+ .10	-.22	AFF	5.1	r	pm	
		girls:	+ .48 ^x	+ .35	+ .37	+ .24					
	6-item index										

		age	10-12	13-15	18-24	27-36				
- COOPERATIVENESS	'Does this mother seem cooperative overtly?' (excluding officiousness, interventions, self-abasing cooperation)	boys:	+ .34	+ .35	+ .45 ^x	+ .68 ^x	AFF 5.1	r	pm	
		girls:	- .09	+ .07	+ .33	+ .29				
	7-item index									
- NARCISSISM	'Does she try to draw attention to herself?'	boys:	- .30	- .23	- .15	- .40 ^x	AFF 5.1	r	pm	
	3-item index	girls:	+ .55 ^x	+ .35	+ .33	+ .23				
- REJECTION OF HOME MAKING ROLE	'Does she seem to reject the role of homemaker?'	boys:	+ .03	- .10	- .21	- .37	AFF 5.1	r	pm	
	5-item index	girls:	+ .19	+ .01	- .15	- .06				
- ESTIMATED INTELLIGENCE	'Does this mother have a high intelligence?'	boys:	+ .43 ^x	+ .51 ^x	+ .52 ^x	+ .56 ^x	AFF 5.1	r	pm	
	4-item index	girls:	+ .16	+ .07	+ .30	+ .34				
- POOR PHYSICAL HEALTH	'Is this mother physically healthy?'	boys:	- .07	- .27	- .28	- .46 ^x	AFF 5.1	r	pm	
	3-item index	girls:	- .03	- .05	+ .09	- .10				
- FINANCIAL STRESS	'Does this mother seem to be subject to financial strain?'	boys:	- .32	- .38 ^x	- .52 ^x	- .44 ^x	AFF 5.1	r	pm	
	5-item index	girls:	- .35	- .16	- .06	- .23				
<u>MATERNAL CHARACTERISTICS DURING THE CHILD'S AGE FROM 9-14 YEARS:</u>		Ratings of expressive behavior on 7-point scales ranging from 'not at all true' to 'extremely true' by three judges on the basis of interview protocols (one to three interviews).					Each variable correlated with the child's hedonic level at the ages of 10-36 months. See also instrument and remarks in excerpt (Part II). For boys: N = 15; for girls: N = 16			
		age	10-12	13-15	18-24	27-36				
- IGNORING	'Does the mother ignore or reject her child?'	boys:	- .31	- .41	- .39	- .39	AFF 5.1	r	pm	
	5-item index	girls:	- .27	- .37	- .30	- .44				
- PERCEIVES CHILD AS A BURDEN	'Does this mother perceive the child as more a burden and inconvenience than a source of happiness?'	boys:	- .40	- .33	- .28	- .03	AFF 5.1	r	pm	
	5-item index	girls:	+ .27	- .28	- .14	- .02				
- USE OF FEAR TO CONTROL	'Does this mother attempt to use fear as a way of controlling and teaching the child?'	boys:	- .26	- .35	- .28	- .28	AFF 5.1	r	pm	
	5-item index	girls:	+ .10	- .03	- .07	- .19				
- WISH TO CONTROL THE CHILD	'Does this mother seem to wish to control the child?'	boys:	- .24	- .19	- .09	- .09	AFF 5.1	r	pm	
	5-item index	girls:	- .02	+ .03	- .03	- .23				
- KEEPS CHILD SOCIALLY ISOLATED	'Does this mother tend to keep her child socially isolated?'	boys:	+ .21	+ .21	+ .21	+ .21	AFF 5.1	r	pm	
	5-item index	girls:	- .01	- .12	- .22	- .49				
- INTRUSIVENESS	'Does this mother seem to be unaware of the fact that a child has a mind of his own and that he should be able to do his own thinking without forceful intrusion by the mother?'	boys:	- .03	+ .28	+ .16	+ .16	AFF 5.1	r	pm	
	4-item index	girls:	- .06	- .18	- .30	- .45				

Children and their mothers, Berkeley, California, U.S.A.
 Non-probability chunk sample
 N: 108 (54 children and their mothers), date: 1928 - 1943

SCHAE 63
 p. 117/118

		age	10-12	13-15	18-24	27-36						
- EXCESSIVE CONTACT WITH CHILD.	'Does the mother wish to keep the child closely attached to herself?'	boys:	+20	+29	+12	+12	AFF 5.1	r	pm			
		girls:	-.34	-.17	-.13	-.33						
	5-item index											
- FOSTERING DEPENDENCY IN CHILD	'Does this mother tend to baby her child or foster dependency in him?'	boys:	+33	+57 ^x	+41	+41	AFF 5.1	r	pm			
		girls:	-.16	-.27	-.06	+12						
	5-item index											
- ACHIEVEMENT DEMAND	'Does the mother set high standards of achievement for the child?'	boys:	+48	+65 ^x	+60 ^x	+68 ^x	AFF 5.1	r	pm			
		girls:	+32	+21	+00	+23						
	6-item index											
- EMOTIONAL INVOLVEMENT WITH CHILD	'Extent and intensity of emotional and behavioral involvement with the child'	boys:	+46	+79 ^x	+72 ^x	+72 ^x	AFF 5.1	r	pm			
		girls:	-.03	+06	+19	+39						
	6-item index											
- POSITIVE EVALUATION OF CHILD	'Does this mother have a positive evaluation of the child?'	boys:	+06	+30	+36	+36	AFF 5.1	r	pm			
		girls:	+31	+38	+12	+48						
	6-item index											
- EXPRESSION OF AFFECTION FOR CHILD	'Does this mother openly express her love and affection for the child?'	boys:	+14	+34	+22	+22	AFF 5.1	r	pm			
		girls:	-.12	-.02	-.02	+34						
	5-item index											
- EQUALITARIANISM	'Does this mother relate to the child as an equal?'	boys:	+36	+57 ^x	+51	+51	AFF 5.1	r	pm			
		girls:	+03	+08	+04	+40						
	4-item index											
- POSITIVE MOTHER-CHILD RELATIONSHIP	'Positiveness of mother-child relationship?' (differentiated from over-possessiveness)	boys:	+29	+32	+28	+28	AFF 5.1	r	pm			
		girls:	+12	+13	+05	+35						
	7-item index											
- PERMITS AND STIMULATES AUTONOMY	'Does the mother think the child should be free to act independently and should be allowed to work or play apart from his parents?'	boys:	-.20	-.45	-.34	-.34	AFF 5.1	r	pm			
		girls:	+19	+01	-.05	+26						
	5-item index											
- PUNITIVENESS	'Does the mother seem positive and unkind?'	boys:	-.34	-.33	-.32	-.32	AFF 5.1	r	pm			
		girls:	-.05	-.08	+03	-.31						
	6-item index											
- IRRITABILITY	'Does this mother tend to be irritable?'	boys:	-.15	-.24	-.23	-.23	AFF 5.1	r	pm			
		girls:	-.03	-.21	-.03	-.19						
	5-item index											
- STRICTNESS (rigidity)	'Does this mother believe in rigid rules and strict enforcement of those rules?'	boys:	-.06	-.28	-.12	+07	AFF 5.1	r	pm			
		girls:	+28	+16	-.06	-.07						
	4-item index											
- ANXIETY	'Does the mother appear overtly anxious?'	boys:	-.24	-.15	+05	+05	AFF 5.1	r	pm			
		girls:	-.21	-.06	+21	+06						
	5-item index											
- EMOTIONAL WITHDRAWAL	'Does this mother withdraw from external involvements?'	boys:	+06	-.08	+31	+31	AFF 5.1	r	pm			
		girls:	-.28	+01	+21	-.08						
	6-item index											

		age:	10-12	13-15	18-24	27-36							
- DEPENDENCY	'Is she a dependent mother?'						AFF 5.1	r	pm				
	8-item index	boys:	-.06	+.07	+.18	+.36							
		girls:	+.19	+.03	+.09	+.19							
- SOCIABILITY	'Is this mother a sociable person?'						AFF 5.1	r	pm				
	6-item index	boys:	+.28	+.31	+.40	+.40							
		girls:	+.25	+.03	+.02	+.34							
- COOPERATIVENESS	'Does this moter seem cooperative overtly?'						AFF 5.1	r	pm				
	(excluding officiousness, interventions, self-abasing cooperation)	boys:	+.32	+.20	+.26	+.26							
	7-item index	girls:	+.18	+.18	+.14	+.29							
- POSITIVE EMOTIONAL STATE	'Does this mother tend towards positive emotional states?'						AFF 5.1	r	pm				
	7-item index	boys:	+.62 ^x	+.57 ^x	+.58 ^x	+.46							
		girls:	-.16	-.21	-.17	+.08							
- COMMUNICATIVENESS	'Does this mother communicate freely in the interview?'						AFF 5.1	r	pm				
	5-item index	boys:	+.34	+.08	-.04	-.04							
		girls:	+.14	-.02	+.06	+.19							
- REJECTION OF HOME MAKING ROLE	'Does this mother seem to reject the role of homemaker?'						AFF 5.1	r	pm				
	5-item index	boys:	-.21	-.15	-.28	-.28							
		girls:	-.28	-.23	+.14	+.00							
- ESTIMATED INTELLIGENCE	'Does this mother have a high intelligence?'						AFF 5.1	r	pm				
	6-item index	boys:	+.33	+.26	+.41	+.41							
		girls:	+.34	+.02	-.10	+.12							

F 1.1.4 - VARIOUS FACTORS CONCERNING FAMILY OF ORIGIN

NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN THE FAMILY		Stronger in lower class : G = -.27 Not in the middle and upper class: G = +.01	HAPP 1.1	G	-.04	Chi ²	02	Juniors and seniors attending public high schools in New York State, U.S.A.	BRENN 70 p. 113/354
		Stronger in lower class : G = -.11 Not in the middle and upper class: G = .00	AFF 1.1	G	-.01	Chi ²	ns	Probability cluster sample of 10 public highschools N: sample A: 1682, sample B: 1664, sample C: 1678; date: 1960	
BEING OLDEST OR ONLY CHILD			HAPP 1.1	r	-	t	s	Male undergraduates, U.S.A. Non-probability chunk sample N: 103, date: ± 1967	HEERE 69 p. 28
PAST SIBLING CONFLICT	Score derived from a 212-item inventory of past life experiences		AFF 3.1	r	-.39	t	ns	Male college students, U.S.A. Non-probability chunk sample N: 17, date: ± 1960	WESSM 66/2 p. 122
ILLNESS OF PARENTS	2-item index of diseases of parents including infarction, stroke, hypertension and diabetes		HAPP 2.1	G	-.03	Chi ²	ns	Male employees of age 40-65, The Netherlands Non-probability chunk sample N: 13000, date: —	SONDE 75
LONG OR SERIOUS ILLNESS IN FAMILY DURING CHILDHOOD	Closed question: no vs yes		AFF 1.3	DR	-.02		05	Adults, Alameda County, U.S.A. Probability sample N: 6928, date: 1965	BERKM 71 p. 42

CHILDHOOD STRESS	4-factor score containing childhood broken homes, evaluation of mother and father as parents, happiness of parents's marriage during childhood, illness of family members during childhood		AFF 1.3	DR	-.09		s	Adults, Alameda County, U.S.A. (See last page)	BERKM 71 p. 43
FATHER WAS ABLE TO BE AROUND THE HOME A LOT	Closed question rated on a 9-point scale gone a lot / average / home a good deal of the time		HAPP 1.1	r _{pm}		t	ns	Male undergraduates, U.S.A. Non-probability chunk sample N: 103, date: + 1967	HEERE 69 p. 28

F 1.2 - CHILDREN

F 1.2.1 - HAVING CHILDREN

CHILDLESSNESS	Having children vs not	Computed for married Ss only	HAPP 1.1	G'	+02	Gt'	ns	National adult population, U.S.A. Non-probability quota sample N: 2377, date: February, 1946	WESSM 56 p. 193
HAVING CHILDREN	Having no children vs having children		HAPP 1.1	r _{pm}	+09			Housewives, The Netherlands Probability area sample N: 450, date: autumn, 1964	PHILI 66 p. 66
HAVING CHILDREN	Absence vs presence of living children	Negative among married persons (05). Married persons with children are less happy (05) than married Ss without children, singles without children and singles with children. Among married persons: - positive in Dominican Republic (05), esp. in rural areas - negative in Panama (01) in both urban and rural areas - negative in Yugoslavia (01), esp. in rural areas - negative among females (01) - positive among males (05) - negative among those of age 40+ (01) - not among those of age 21-39 (ns) - negative among those of high S.E.S. (01) - not among those of lower S.E.S. (ns)	HAPP 3.1	DM	-	DMRT	ns	Adults in the Dominican Republic, Panama and Yugoslavia Pooling of the Cantril (1965) samples of Dominican Republic, Panama and Yugoslavia N: 5228, date: —	BOHN 72 p. 26-38
CHILDLESSNESS	Having children vs not	Age 25 - 29: G = +.09 (ns) 30 - 34: G = +.26 (ns) 35 - 39: G = +.60 (05) 40 - 44: G = +.23 (ns) 45 - 49: G = +.01 (ns) 50 - 54: G = +.08 (ns) 55 - 59: G = +.48 (ns) 60 - 65: G = +.20 (ns) Unaffected by sex	HAPP 1.1	G	+12		ns	Married adults, The Netherlands Probability area sample N: 1376, date: June, 1968	VEENH 74 p. 499

F 1.2.2 - NUMBER OF CHILDREN

NUMBER OF CHILDREN		Reported for females only	HAPP 2.1	DX	+ 0	ns	Middle-aged, middle class married couples, U.S.A. Non-probability accidental sample of couples N: 416, date: 1952 - 1953	ROSE 55 p. 16
FAMILY SIZE			HAPP 1.1			ns	Non-institutionalized adults, U.S.A. Probability multi-stage area sample N: 2460, date: spring, 1957	GURIN 60 p. 207
NUMBER OF CHILDREN	Open-ended question		HAPP 1.1	G	+ .09	ns	National adult population, The Netherlands Probability area sample N: 1552, date: June, 1968	BAKKE 74 p. 27
NUMBER OF CHILDREN UNDER 21	0-1 / 2 / 3 / 4 or more	Computed for married Ss only Significant in lowest income group only (less than \$ 5,000 per year)	AFF 2.3	DR	-.06	BCI	Adults, urban areas, U.S.A. Probability area samples N: 2787, date: January, 1963 - January, 1964	BRADB 69 p. 99

F 1.2.3 - NUMBER OF CHILDREN AT HOME

NUMBER/PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN LIVING AT HOME		Reported for females only	HAPP 2.1	DX	+ 0	ns	Middle-aged middle class married couples, U.S.A. Non-probability accidental sample of couples N: 416, date: 1952 - 1953	ROSE 55 p. 16
POSTPARENTAL STAGE	Children still living with parents vs 'empty nest'	Stronger among those of age 40-49: G' = +.29 (01) Reversed among those of age 50-59: G' = -.11 (ns)	HAPP 1.1	G'	+ .16	Gt'	05 Non-institutionalized middle-aged females, U.S.A. Pooling of three Gallup Surveys N: 902, date: 1963, 1966	GLENN 75A/1 p. 106
POSTPARENTAL STAGE	Children still living with parents vs 'empty nest'	Lower among those of age 40-49 : G' = +.10 (ns) Stronger among those of age 50-59: G' = +.27 (ns)	HAPP 1.1	G'	+ .12	Gt'	ns Non-institutionalized middle-aged females, U.S.A. Pooling of NORC Surveys N: 425, date: 1972, 1973	GLENN 75A/2 p. 106
POSTPARENTAL STAGE	Children still living with parents vs 'empty nest'	Lower among those of age 35-49 : G' = +.19 (ns) Stronger among those of age 50-64: G' = +.32 (ns)	COMP 1.1	G'	+ .12	Gt'	ns Non-institutionalized middle-aged females, U.S.A. Roper Survey N: 319, date: 1971	GLENN 75A/3 p. 107
CHILDREN AT HOME	Number of children		HAPP 2.1	G	+ .06	Chi ²	002 Male employees of age 40-65, The Netherlands Non-probability chunk sample N: 13000, date: —	SONDE 75

F 1.2.4 - VARIOUS FACTORS CONCERNING ONE'S CHILDREN

CHILD-CENTERED ATTITUDE	Children were mentioned in response to open-ended questions on wishes and hopes and/or fears and worries for the future	Computed for married persons only negative among those of lower S.E.S. without children (05) positive among those of lower S.E.S. with children (01) slightly negative among those of high S.E.S. without children (ns) (to be continued on next page)	HAPP 3.1	DM	+	DMRT	05 Adults in the Dominican Republic, Panama and Yugoslavia (married people only) Pooling of the Cantril (1965) samples of Dominican Republic, Panama and Yugoslavia N: 4113, date: —	BOHN 72 p. 31
-------------------------	---	--	----------	----	---	------	--	------------------

WISH ONE HAD LARGER OR SMALLER NUMBER OF CHILDREN		slightly negative among those of high S.E.S. with children (ns)								
		Reported for females only	HAPP 2.1	D%	+ 0	ns	Middle-aged, middle class married couples, U.S.A. Non-probability accidental sample of couples N: 416, date: 1952 - 1953		ROSE 55 p. 16	
THINKING OFTEN ABOUT BRINGING UP CHILDREN	Closed question: not at all / sometimes / often during the week	Gamma's computed on the basis of the 'often' answers Unaffected by S.E.S. high S.E.S.: G' = +.06 (ns) low S.E.S. : G' = +.02 (ns)	HAPP 1.1	G'	+	Gt'	Inhabitants of 4 small communities, Illinois, U.S.A. Probability multi-stage samples N: 2006, date: March, 1962		BRAD8 65/1 p. 54	
PARENTAL WORRIES	Score derived from an agree/disagree statement on children giving their parents more trouble than pleasure, and 13-item inventory of parental problems		AFF 1.3	D \bar{r}	-	05	Adults, Alameda County, U.S.A. Probability sample N: 6928, date: 1965		BERKM 71 p. 42	
NEGATIVE ORIENTATION TO CHILDREN	Coder rating of answers on open-ended question on changes in a person's life as a result of having children positive / neutral / negative	Unaffected by sex males : $t_k = -.04$ (ns) females: $t_k = -.06$ (05)	HAPP 1.1	t_k	-		Adult married population with children, U.S.A. Probability area sample N: 797, date: spring, 1957		VEROF 62 p. 196	
PERCEIVED SHORTCOMINGS IN THE SELF	Question on how one would like his children to be different from oneself does not want child to be different vs wants child to be different	Stronger among males : $t_k = -.10$ (05) Not among females : $t_k = -.00$ (ns)	HAPP 1.1	t_k	-		See above		VEROF 62 p. 196	
HAVING PROBLEMS IN RAISING CHILDREN	Open-ended question on main problems: never had problems vs mentions problems	Stronger among males: $t_k = -.20$ (01) Lower among females: $t_k = -.05$ (ns)	HAPP 1.1	t_k	-		See above		VEROF 62 p. 196	
FEELING INADEQUATE AS A PARENT	Closed question on frequency of these feelings: never / once in a while / a lot of times	Unaffected by sex males : $t_k = -.08$ (05) females: $t_k = -.03$ (ns)	HAPP 1.1	t_k	-		See above		VEROF 62 p. 196	
SATISFACTION WITH CHILDREN'S EDUCATION	Closed question rated on an 11-point self-anchoring scale, based on Cantril (1965)		HAPP 2.1	r_{pm}	+ .14		National population, Britain Non-probability quota sample N: 213, date: March, 1971		ABRAM 73 p. 21	
FONDNESS OF CHILD FOR PARENTS	College student offspring rating	Positive among females only The mother's life-satisfaction was just as closely related to her child's fondness for his father as it was to the child's fondness for her. The child's fondness for both of his parents was not related to the father's life-satisfaction	HAPP 2.1	D%	+	s	Middle-aged, middle class married couples, U.S.A. Non-probability accidental sample of couples N: 416, date: 1952 - 1953		ROSE 55 p. 16	

F 1.3 - SATISFACTION WITH ONE'S FAMILY see 'Satisfaction with Family, Relatives' (S 1.7.1)

F 1.4 - VARIOUS FAMILY FACTORS

POSITIVE EVALUATION OF ONE'S FAMILY LIFE	Closed question ranging from very bad to very good		HAPP 1.1	mc	+ .65		Urban adult Jewish population, Israel Probability area sample using dwelling units N: 1940, date: spring, 1973		LEVY 75/1 p. 372
			AFF 1.1	mc	+ .51				

STATE OF FAMILY RELATIONSHIPS	Closed question: 'How do you and your family get along?' only so-so / fairly well / very well	Stronger among those who are dissatisfied with job and/or friends	HAPP 1.1	G'	+ .64	Gt'	01	National adult population, U.S.A. Non-probability quota sample N: 2377, date: February, 1946	WESSM 56 p. 194
GETTING ON WELL WITH ONE'S FAMILY	Closed question: very badly / rather badly / average / fairly well / very well		HAPP 2.1	T ²	+ .22	Chi ²	001	Non-agricultural population, Poland Non-probability, purposive quota sample N: 1385, date: June - July, 1960	MAKAR 62 p. 108
GETTING ON WELL WITH ONE'S FAMILY	See above		HAPP 2.1	T ²	+ .14	Chi ²	001	Individual farm-owners and their families, Poland Non-probability, purposive quota sample N: 1002, date: June - July, 1960	MAKAR 62 p. 109
CLOSENESS OF TOTAL FAMILY LIFE	College student offspring rating	Positive among females only. Among very satisfied women in 48% of the cases the college student offspring described total family life as being very close; among satisfied women this percentage was 28%, and among the relatively dissatisfied mothers it was only 20%.	HAPP 2.1	D%	+	s		Middle-aged, middle class married couples, U.S.A. Non-probability accidental sample of couples N: 416, date: 1952 - 1953	ROSE 55 p. 16
SOCIAL CONTACTS OF OWN FAMILY	2-item index of closed questions on frequency of contact and appreciation of contact	Computed for married persons only. Males: r = +.00 Females: r = +.36	HAPP 2.1	r _{pm}	+	Chi ²		Adults, Amsterdam, The Netherlands Probability systematic random sample stratified by sex and marital status N: 600, date: September - December, 1965	JONG 69 p. 26
CONTACTS WITH RELATIVES	Open-ended question on number of families: no / 1 / 2 / 3 or more families during last week	Computed for Index of Positive Affects only: G' = +.22 (01) Unrelated to Index of Negative Affects Unaffected by S.E.S.	AFF 2.3	G'	+	Gt'		Males of age 25-49, 4 small communities, Illinois, U.S.A. Probability multi-stage samples N: 393, date: March, 1962	BRADB 65/1 p. 43
FREQUENCY OF CONTACTS WITH RELATIVES	Open-ended question; during past few weeks	Index of Positive Affects: G = +.10 Index of Negative Affects: G = +.03	AFF 2.3	G	+			Adults, urban areas, U.S.A. Probability area samples N: 2787, date: January, 1963 - January, 1964	BRADB 69 p. 127
EXTENT OF FAMILY CONTACT OUTSIDE THE HOME	Respondents were classified according to the number of their living relatives, multiplied by the frequency of contacts. sparse / occasional / many		HAPP 2.1	G'	+ .28	Gt'	05	Aged chronically ill patients, U.S.A. Probability sample N: 167, date: 1959	HENLE 67 p. 71
BEING INTERESTED IN FAMILY RELATIONSHIPS	Closed question		COMP 4.1				ns	Students, U.S.A. Non-probability chunk sample N: 1651, date: —	SYMON 37 p. 292
HAVING PROBLEMS WITH FAMILY RELATIONSHIPS	Closed question	Highschool students only L-shaped curve: significant negative among happier students only	COMP 4.1		-			See above	SYMON 37 p. 292
REPORT OF HOPES CONCERNING THE FAMILY	Open-ended question on personal wishes and hopes for the future Responses rated as concerning happy family life; concern and hopes for relatives, children; etc.		HAPP 3.1	G'	+ .11	Gt'	01	Adult population of 14 countries Representative samples N: 18653, date: ± 1960	CANTR 65/1 p. 263
REPORT OF FEARS CONCERNING THE FAMILY	Open-ended question on personal worries and fears for the future Responses rated as concerning no or unhappy family life; worries and fears regarding relatives, children; etc.		HAPP 3.1	G'	+ .08	Gt'	01	See above	CANTR 65/1 p. 263
UNFULFILLED ASPIRATIONS: MARRIAGE, CHILDREN, HUSBAND	Open-ended question on unfulfilled aspirations - other aspirations vs aspirations mentioned	Computed for those having unfulfilled aspirations only (N = 1646)	HAPP 1.1	G'	- .27	Gt'	05	National adult population, U.S.A. Non-probability quota sample N: 2377, date: February, 1946	WESSM 56 p. 210

MOST IMPORTANT WORRY: FAMILY AND CHILDREN	Open-ended question on most important worry- other worries vs worry mentioned	Computed for those having worries only (N = 2040)	HAPP 1.1	G'	+ .10	Gt'	05	National adult population, U.S.A. (See last page)	WESSM 56 p. 213
WORRIES ABOUT FUTURE OF FAMILY MEMBERS	Direct question rated on an open graphic scale ranging from 'not worried' to 'very worried'		HAPP 1.1	G	+ .30		ns	National adult population, The Netherlands Probability area sample N: 1552, date: June, 1968	BAKKE 74 p. 28
WORRIES ABOUT RELATIONSHIP TO FAMILY MEMBERS	See above	Lower among those of age 40-50 Stronger among those of low S.E.S. Not among those of higher education Unaffected by sex	HAPP 1.1	G	+ .45		05	See above	BAKKE 74 p. 28
FAMILY PROBLEMS	Closed question: no vs yes		HAPP 2.1	G	- .55	Chi ²	000	Male employees of age 40-65, The Netherlands Non-probability chunk sample N: 13000, date: —	SONDE 75
FAMILY LIFE CYCLE			HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h ²	.20			National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (first sample) N: 1297, date: May, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 20