

Section on a specific subject from:

DATABOOK OF HAPPINESS

A complementary reference work to
Conditions of Happiness

Ruut Veenhoven
with the assistance of Ton Jonkers
Erasmus University Rotterdam

D.Reidel Publishing Company
Member of Kluwer Academic Publishers Group
1984
Dordrecht/Boston/Lancaster

ISBN 90-277-1793-1

12 INSTITUTIONAL LIVING

see also 'Being treated for mental illness' (H 2.3.3).

RESTRICTIVENESS OF SETTING	open ward (ward A) vs closed ward (ward B)	See also sample construction in excerpt (Part II) open ward : mean = 4.68 closed ward: mean = 5.39	AFF 5.1	DM	+	001	Institutionalized mentally retarded males, U.S.A. Non-probability chunk sample N: 149, date: —	PANDE 71 p. 328
LENGTH OF INSTITUTIONALIZATION	Number of years	open ward : r = +.14 (ns) closed ward: r = -.06 (ns)	AFF 5.1	r _{pm}		ns	See above	PANDE 71 p. 329
COOPERATIVENESS	Ratings by two experienced staff members who were familiar with all the patients on a 7-point 'rebellious - cooperative' scale	open ward : r = +.56 (001) closed ward: r = +.60 (001)	AFF 5.1	r _{pm}	+	001	See above	PANDE 71 p. 329
AGGRESSIVENESS	Ratings by two experienced staff members who were familiar with all the patients on a 7-point 'passive - aggressive' scale	open ward : r = -.24 (05) closed ward: r = -.34 (01)	AFF 5.1	r _{pm}	-	05	See above	PANDE 71 p. 329
POPULARITY	Ratings by two experienced staff members who were familiar with all the patients on a 7-point 'isolated - popular' scale	open ward : r = +.52 (001) closed ward: r = +.47 (001)	AFF 5.1	r _{pm}	+	001	See above	PANDE 71 p. 329
SOCIABILITY	Score based on number of choices made in answering three open-ended questions: - who do you like? - who are your friends? - who do you play with?	open ward : r = +.30 (01) closed ward: r = +.04 (ns)	AFF 5.1	r _{pm}	+		See above	PANDE 71 p. 329
REJECTION OF PEERS	Score based on number of choices made in answering three open-ended questions: - who don't you like? - who do you dislike? - who don't you like to play with?	open ward : r = -.03 (ns) closed ward: r = -.12 (ns)	AFF 5.1	r _{pm}	-	ns	See above	PANDE 71 p. 329
PEER POPULARITY	Score based on the number of times one is selected by his peers in answering three open-ended questions: - who do you like? - who are your friends? - who do you play with?	open ward : r = +.04 (ns) closed ward: r = +.30 (05)	AFF 5.1	r _{pm}	+		See above	PANDE 71 p. 329
REJECTION BY PEERS	Score based on the number of times one is selected by his peers in answering three open-ended questions: - who don't you like? - who do you dislike? - who don't you like to play with?	open ward : r = -.23 (05) closed ward: r = -.32 (01)	AFF 5.1	r _{pm}	-	05	See above	PANDE 71 p. 329

ADJUSTMENT	Overall staff-ratings based on the staff's own conceptualization of adjustment	<p>For 8 out of the 12 staff members a significant (05) relationship between their rankings on adjustment and satisfaction (= happiness measure) was found (range of $r = +.27$ to $+.51$).</p> <p>Analysis of results suggest that in evaluating the residents the staff equated adjustment with external criteria, such as cooperation and conduct, more than with internal criteria, such as the feelings of the residents.</p>	COMP 5	t_k	+.35	02	Male residents of a chronic care Veterans Administration nursing home, age 46 - 89, U.S.A. N: 20, date: —	SCHNE 71 p. 63
COOPERATION WITH STAFF	Overall staff-ratings on cooperation with the staff, conformity to rules, and conduct.	For 12 out of the 16 staff members a significant (05) relationship between their rankings on cooperation and satisfaction (= happiness measure) was found (range of $r = +.32$ to $+.63$).	COMP 5	t_k	+.46	01	See above	SCHNE 71 p. 63
DEGREE OF MISPERCEPTION OF THE NEEDS OF THE RESIDENTS BY THE STAFF	<p>10-item inventory of statements which were intended to represent general needs of particular importance to residents of an institution for the aged (e.g. 'being able to do things for yourself', 'being with people who like you')</p> <p>On the basis of paired comparisons the relative importance of each of the needs was assessed for each of the residents.</p> <p>In the same way each staff member's perception of the relative importance of the needs for each of the residents was assessed.</p> <p>A difference score was computed for the discrepancy between each resident's ordering and the overall staff's ordering of the needs.</p>	Also the 20 difference scores of each individual staff member were ranked and then correlated with his rankings of the residents on satisfaction (= happiness measure). For only 2 of the 16 staff members a significant correlation was found.	COMP 5	t_k	-.14	ns	See above	SCHNE 71 p. 63